CF 121 — 04

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3257/2005

PETITIONER

Smt. Vandana Awashthi
Through Shri Manish Awasthy
Lane Ujjavane Rickshaw
Garage, Hemu Nagar
Near Ashirwad Bhavan
Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R.No. 29961/05
Presented by Shri S.K. Mishra
Date 1/7/05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through : Chief Secretary
Education Department ,
Secretariat D.K.Singh Bhawan
Raipur (C.G.)

2. Chief Executive Officer
District Panchayat Bilaspur
(C.G.)

3. Member Secretary,
Selection Committee
District Panchayat Bilaspur
(C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
01/07/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF APPROPRIATE WRIT, ORDER ORDERS AND DIRECTIONS.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

25

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ...WP 3257/05... **सन्** **200**

..... **विरुद्ध** .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	05.08.2005 Shri S.K. Mishra, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents. **I.A. No. 6284 of 2005:** This is an application for urgent hearing. The matter is taken up on Board. This interim application stands disposed of. **W.P. No. 3257 of 2005:** Heard Shri S.K. Mishra, counsel for the petitioner and Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, Panel Lawyer for the State/respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though the petitioner was fully qualified for appointment to the post of Panchayat Shiksha Karmi Grade-II, the respondents did not select the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner was not having valid experience required for the said post. He further submits that the petitioner was having a valid experience as was required for the said post and the decision taken by the respondents was illegal. Admittedly, the post for which the petitioner is claiming the appointment, is governed by the provisions of M.P.(C.G.) Panchayat Shiksha Karmis (Recruitment, Conditions of Service) Rules, 1997 and there is a provision of appeal under Rule 12 of the aforesaid rules.	

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित — 2—	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	In view of this legal position, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for withdrawal of this petition with a liberty to file a duly constituted appeal before the concerned authority. This prayer is allowed. This petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty to the petitioner to file a duly constituted appeal before the competent authority in accordance with law. The petition stands finally disposed of. **Sd/-** **Sunil Kumar Sinha** **Judge**	

Sumita

(पीछे देखिये)